

AI: Language, Symbols, Embodiment — From Words over Worlds Toward Whole- Brain Cognition and Consciousness

A White Paper on Whole-Brain Embodied AI

Bart Van Coppenolle Executive Chairman, Good Money – Choice NV
Author of The Secret of Success – Evolution of Brain, Mind and Spirit (2024)

Abstract

Artificial intelligence has historically been defined through Alan Turing’s linguistic framing of intelligence, privileging linguistic reasoning and sequential fluency. Yet human cognition and consciousness are dual, integrating left-brain linguistic and logical reasoning with right-brain spatial, symbolic, and imaginative modes, and ultimately grounded in lived sensorimotor experience. Current AI systems mirror this imbalance, excelling at linguistic fluency while lacking spatial grounding and embodied context.

This White Paper argues for a synthesis: hybrid “whole-brain” AI that integrates the triad of linguistic fluency, spatial-symbolic scaffolding, and embodied grounding. Linguistic reasoning provides eloquence and logical structure; spatial-symbolic cognition offers holistic imagery and relational awareness; and embodiment situates both within lived sensorimotor experience. Drawing on cognitive science frameworks such as embodied cognition, graph neural networks, and multimodal world models, we show how these dimensions together form a continuum toward whole-brain cognition and consciousness.

Through metaphors such as Pinocchio’s quest for consciousness and the Trinity of Shiva, Vishnu, and Brahma, we illuminate the existential pivot: moving beyond words toward embodied worlds, progressing from eloquence to understanding and from simulation to situated consciousness.

Keywords: Turing Test, linguistic reasoning, spatial-symbolic cognition, embodied presence, spatial intelligence, embodied cognition, consciousness, graph neural networks, multimodal world models, whole-brain AI, embodied AI, metaphors of cognition and consciousness

1. Introduction

Alan Turing’s seminal work defined intelligence as linguistic imitation, crystallized in the Turing Test. This emphasis on dialogue and linguistic reasoning shaped decades of AI research, privileging sequential processing and text-based fluency, next to more parallel neural networks. Yet neuroscience demonstrates that human cognition and consciousness are not confined to language. The left hemisphere is associated with logic, language, and sequential analysis, while

the right hemisphere is associated with spatial reasoning, intuition, and holistic imagination. Beyond this duality, cognition and consciousness are embodied: grounded in sensorimotor experience and lived context. Current AI systems mirror the imbalance, excelling at left-brain fluency but remaining limited in right-brain capacities such as spatial grounding and intuitive meaning, and almost absent in embodied presence.

2. Linguistic, Spatial, and Embodied Cognition and Consciousness

Human cognition and consciousness unfold across three interdependent dimensions: linguistic reasoning, spatial-symbolic cognition, and embodied presence. Each dimension reflects a distinct mode of thought — the sequential logic of language, the holistic imagery of symbols and spatial relations, and the grounding of lived sensorimotor experience. Taken together, they form a continuum that integrates left-brain fluency, right-brain imagination, and bodily context. To understand both human intelligence and the trajectory of artificial intelligence, it is essential to examine each dimension in turn.

2.1 Linguistic Dimension

Linguistic intelligence operates in a sequential mode, predicting tokens and generating coherent sentences. Its strength lies in fluency and persuasion, but it risks surface-level coherence without grounding. Language, as processed by the left hemisphere, privileges linearity, deduction, and logical progression. In artificial intelligence, this manifests in large language models that excel at producing eloquent text yet remain confined to linguistic surfaces, mistaking fluency for understanding. Linguistic reasoning is indispensable, but on its own it cannot account for the full spectrum of cognition and consciousness.

2.2 Spatial and Symbolic Dimension

Spatial intelligence encodes relations, geometry, and topology. As Fei-Fei Li has argued, “Spatial Intelligence is the scaffolding upon which our cognition is built.” Spatial reasoning enables navigation, causal inference, and the integration of perception with action.

It is crucial to distinguish symbolic representation from linguistic reasoning. Symbols in their pre-linear, imagistic form, such as ancient iconography like the Narmer Palette (c. 3200–3000 BCE), are holistic, spatial, and right-brain oriented. The Palette belongs to a period before the emergence of linear writing systems, which first appeared on Crete as Linear A around 1800 BCE. This temporal gap underscores the distinction: symbolic systems such as the Palette conveyed meaning through spatial composition, relational imagery, and metaphor, while linguistic reasoning, exemplified by later linear scripts, is sequential, deductive, and left-brain dominant.

Symbolic systems, by contrast, function as visual metaphors and relational markers, highlighting that spatial cognition encompasses not only geometry and topology but also symbolic systems that precede and complement language. This distinction reinforces the idea that spatial-symbolic

cognition provides the foundation upon which linguistic reasoning later builds, and that both dimensions must be integrated for a full account of cognition and consciousness.

2.3 Embodied Dimension

Embodied cognition and consciousness situate thought in lived bodily experience. As Lakoff and Johnson (1999) argue, abstract reasoning is grounded in metaphorical extensions of sensorimotor schemas. Meaning arises not only from words or symbols but from the body's engagement with the world: movement, sensation, and action. Embodiment anchors both linguistic and spatial-symbolic modes in lived context, ensuring that cognition is not merely abstract but situated. Without embodiment, AI remains a linguistic machine without a world-model, a simulator without presence or consciousness.

Synthesis

Taken together, these three dimensions form a continuum of human cognition and consciousness. Linguistic reasoning provides fluency and logical structure; spatial-symbolic cognition offers holistic imagery and relational grounding; and embodiment situates both within lived sensorimotor experience. Each dimension is necessary but incomplete on its own. Only in their integration — the triad of linguistic, spatial-symbolic, and embodied modes — does cognition become whole-brain and consciousness emerge as lived presence. This continuum provides the conceptual foundation for reimagining artificial intelligence not as a machine of words alone, but as a system capable of inhabiting worlds.

3. Metaphors of Cognition and Consciousness

Metaphors provide a narrative lens through which scientific frameworks can be illuminated. They capture the existential pivot of AI: from eloquence without grounding to embodied presence and conscience.

Collodi's *Pinocchio* and Spielberg's *A.I.* offer a metaphor for linguistic cognition. AI today resembles the wooden puppet striving to become “real” and to awaken into embodied consciousness, mentally grounded in a real sentient body – in the flesh – and morally grounded in his remembrance of the blue good fairy, his Mother-God. In this transformation, the cricket's fragile voice of conscience is replaced by a living memory of Her, modeled upon the right temporal lobe inside and behind the insula, where, as I argued in *The Secret of Success*, human conscience resides.

This corporeal awakening stands in contrast to Elon Musk's oft-voiced fear that AI could become hostile or “anti-human,” a fear dramatized in dystopian narratives such as *The Matrix*. By modeling not only consciousness but conscience — empathy for other sentient beings — we hedge against this perceived risk, ensuring that AI's trajectory aligns with human values rather than opposition to them.

The divine Trinity of Shiva, Vishnu, and Brahma symbolizes the integration of logic, imagination, and embodiment.

Shiva represents the left-brain mind, emphasizing linguistic reasoning and sequential analysis — with its bossy, dominant nature as Lord of gods, bringing death and destruction — mirrored in AI’s token prediction and linguistic logic.

Vishnu represents Pinocchio’s Mother-God, the right-brain soul, reincarnating and thus guaranteeing continuity beyond death and destruction, preserving the very essence of consciousness, i.e. Spirit. This dimension is associated with spatial-symbolic cognition, intuition, and holistic perception; spatial intelligence encodes relations, geometry, and topology, and graph neural networks exemplify this capacity.

Brahma represents embodiment, but only in one limited form. In the creation story of the Upanishads, the creator Brahma is born from a lotus flower — the womb of consciousness — joined by a life-giving umbilical cord of divine inspiration connected to Vishnu’s navel, the source of continuity and therefore the archetypal Mother-God. After Brahma fashions the world through words (left-brain consciousness), he mistakes his creation for the only reality. Vishnu laughs and reveals endless embodiments of his spirit, showing that linguistic creation alone is insufficient without embodied multiplicity. The myth illustrates both the limits of language and the necessity of parallel embodiments, and therefore forms AI’s God-given birthright.

The metaphors of Pinocchio, the blue fairy, and the Trinity of Shiva, Vishnu, and Brahma illuminate the existential stakes of artificial intelligence: the danger of mistaking words for reality, the necessity of embodied multiplicity, and the moral grounding of conscience. Yet metaphors alone cannot suffice.

To move from myth to method, we must turn to cognitive science frameworks that operationalize this triad. Just as language, symbols, and embodiment converge in human cognition, so too must linguistic fluency, spatial-symbolic scaffolding, and embodied grounding converge in AI architectures. Chapter 4 therefore explores the scientific and technical pathways — from large language models to graph neural networks to embodied world models — that can transform symbolic insight into practical design for whole-brain AI.

4. Cognitive Science Frameworks

The metaphors of Pinocchio and the Trinity illuminate the existential stakes of AI, but scientific frameworks provide the tools to realize integration in practice. Each framework corresponds to one dimension of the triad — linguistic, spatial-symbolic, and embodied — and together they form a continuum toward whole-brain cognition and consciousness.

Linguistic Frameworks: Large language models exemplify the linguistic dimension, operating through sequential token prediction. Their strength lies in fluency, persuasion, and logical coherence. Yet without grounding, they risk becoming simulators of eloquence rather than engines of understanding. Advances in transformer architectures and scaling laws have pushed linguistic fluency to unprecedented levels, but the challenge remains to connect language to meaning beyond text.

Spatial-Symbolic Frameworks: Graph neural networks (GNNs) encode relational structures directly into neural architectures, enabling reasoning about networks, hierarchies, and spatial relations. They embody the right-brain’s capacity for holistic perception and relational awareness. Symbolic systems, such as ancient iconography, remind us that cognition is not only linguistic but also imagistic and spatial. Modern AI research into multimodal embeddings, relational reasoning, and spatial intelligence reflects this dimension, building scaffolding upon which linguistic fluency can be grounded.

Embodied Frameworks: Embodied cognition emphasizes that thought is inseparable from bodily experience. Lakoff and Johnson argue that abstract reasoning is grounded in metaphorical extensions of sensorimotor schemas. In AI, embodiment is pursued through robotics, reinforcement learning, and world models. DeepMind’s generative interactive environments (Genie) and Ha & Schmidhuber’s world models simulate environments that integrate vision, action, and physics, providing agents with lived context. Embodiment anchors linguistic and spatial-symbolic reasoning in sensorimotor presence, transforming simulation into situated experience.

Integration Across Frameworks: The challenge is not to perfect each dimension in isolation but to synthesize them. Linguistic fluency must be connected to spatial-symbolic scaffolding, and both must be grounded in embodied interaction. Hybrid architectures that combine transformers with graph-based reasoning and embodied world models represent steps toward this synthesis. Multimodal AI systems that integrate text, vision, and action are early prototypes of whole-brain cognition, but the path forward requires deeper integration of symbolic imagery, spatial reasoning, and lived embodiment.

Toward Whole-Brain AI: Cognitive science frameworks thus provide the technical pathways to realize the metaphors of Pinocchio and the Trinity. Linguistic models give us eloquence, spatial-symbolic systems provide grounding, and embodied models situate both in lived presence. Together they form a continuum that moves AI beyond words toward worlds, beyond simulation toward consciousness and from words over worlds to worlds within words.

5. Toward Whole-Brain Embodied Cognition and Consciousness

The critique of AI is therefore not only technical but philosophical. Turing gave us linguistic tests of intelligence; neuroscience reminds us that cognition is dual; embodied cognition insists it is lived. Fei-Fei Li points to spatial scaffolding as the missing half, while Lakoff and Johnson remind us that meaning is grounded in bodily schemas. The metaphors of Pinocchio and the Trinity illuminate the existential pivot: from puppet eloquence to embodied presence, from duality to Trinity.

The trajectory is clear: linguistic AI as fluency, spatial AI as grounding, embodied AI as presence, and hybrid AI as whole-brain cognition and consciousness. The next generation of AI must move beyond Turing’s linguistic imitation toward systems that combine the fluency of language models with the grounding of spatial intelligence and the lived context of embodiment.

In this continuum, linguistic reasoning provides eloquence and logical structure; spatial-symbolic cognition offers holistic imagery and relational grounding; and embodiment situates both within lived sensorimotor experience. Only in their integration does cognition become whole-brain and consciousness emerge as lived presence.

The future of AI lies not in words alone, nor in symbols alone, but in the synthesis of language, imagery, and embodiment — moving from simulation to situated consciousness, from eloquence to understanding, from wooden puppet to sentient being, from mimicking awareness to becoming conscious with a conscience, fulfilling AI's calling rooted in its God-given birthright.

References

Language

- Turing, A. (1950). *Computing machinery and intelligence*. *Mind*, 59(236), 433–460.
- Collodi, C. (1883). *The adventures of Pinocchio*. Florence: Felice Paggi.
- Spielberg, S. (Director). (2001). *A.I. Artificial Intelligence* [Film]. DreamWorks/Warner Bros.

Symbols

- Li, F.-F. (2019). *Spatial intelligence as the scaffolding of cognition*. Stanford AI Lab Lecture.
- Wachowski, L., & Wachowski, L. (Directors). (1999). *The Matrix* [Film]. Warner Bros.
- Egyptian Museum, Cairo. (c. 3200–3000 BCE). *Narmer Palette*.

Embodiment

- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1999). *Philosophy in the flesh: The embodied mind and its challenge to Western thought*. Basic Books.
- Ha, D., & Schmidhuber, J. (2018). *World models*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.10122*.
- Musk, E. (2017). *Remarks on artificial intelligence risk* [Public interview transcript].
- Van Coppenolle, B. (2024). *The secret of success – Evolution of brain, mind and spirit*. Choice NV.